IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Hawk Valley, Inc., a Pennsylva	ınia corporation,	CIVIL ACTION	
individually and as the represe	entative		
of a class of similary situated	persons :		
Two Cousins Pizza, Inc. Giova	inni Picone, and	NO.	
filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	e a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant does thall, with its first appearanties, a Case Management	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for signation Form in all civil cases at the time of (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revers so not agree with the plaintiff regarding said note, submit to the clerk of court and serve of Track Designation Form specifying the tracking signed.	e d n
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases l	brought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255. ()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services den	requesting review of a dec lying plaintiff Social Secur	ision of the Secretary of Health rity Benefits. ()
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal inj	ury or property damage from ()
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)		ecial or intense management by)
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks. ()	Ò
February 3, 2010 Date	Attorney-at-law Alan C. Milstein	Plaintiff, Hawk Valley, Inc. Attorney for	
(856) 662-0700	(856) 488-4744	amilstein@shermansilverstein.co	on
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

* JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REV.	ERSE OF THE FORM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Hawk Valley, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation,				DEFENDANTS Two Cousins Pizza, Inc., Giovanni Picone, Joseph Picone				
individually and as the representative of a class			and John Does 1-10					
(b) colisimilariyasiti	uated nersopsintiff			County of Residence o	of First Listed Defendant			
` '	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)		
1319 Crestview D	rive			NOTE: IN LANI	O CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE		
Denver, PA 1751	7			LAND I	NVOLVED.			
(c) Sherman Silve	erstein Kohl Rose & F e, Address, and Telephone Numb	Podolsky, P.A.		Attorneys (If Known)				
Alan C. Milsteir	, ,			050 000 0700				
4300 Haddonfi	eld Road, Suite 311	Pennsauken, N		9 856-662-0700				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	1		RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	▼ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2			
Detendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)			of Dusiness in F	momer state		
			1	en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "Y" in One Boy O	mly)	I FO	reign Commy				
CONTRACT		RTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		0 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury		0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury		of Property 21 USC 881	28 030 137	450 Commerce		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	/ 🗆 63	0 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander □ 330 Federal Employers`	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	,	0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 66	0 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health O Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal	1	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgint Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 174	& Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		0 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	Sentence	□ 79	1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 540 Mandannus & Ot ☐ 550 Civil Rights		2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		J 46	5 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
	440 Office Civil Rights			Actions				
X1 Original	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened another (specific		Appeal to District ict		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca		Telepho	one Consumer Pro	tection Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.			5,000.00	JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes ☐ X No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE)			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE	/ -	SIGNATURE OF AT	TODNEY	OF RECORD				
February 3, 2010	((SIGNATURE OF AT		Alan C. Milstein, E	squire			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						······································		
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE		
					-			

Print Save As...

Export as FDF

Retrieve FDF File

Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 1319 Crestview Drive, Denver, PA 17517				
Address of Defendant: 115 Manner Avenue, Millersville, PA 17551				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Pennsylvania				
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No□			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No. 🔀			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	rear previously terminated action in this court?			
	Yes No No			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
	Yes□ No 🔯			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously			
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?			
	Yes□ No□			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. □ FELA	2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury			
5. □ Patent	5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please			
	specify)			
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. X All other Federal Question Cases Telephone Consumer Protection (Please specify)	on Act			
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate Co	ategory)			
I. Alan C. Milstein , counsel of record do hereby certi Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sough.	belief, the damages recoverable in this ervir action case exceed the sum of			
DATE February 2 2010				
DATE: February 3, 2010 Attorney-at-Law Alan C. Milster				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court			
except as noted above.	Control Control			
DATE: February 3, 2010	ANA 0750			
Attorneyat-Law Alan C. Milste	AM-2759 in Attorney I.D.#			
CIV. 609 (6/08)				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HAWK VALLEY, INC., a Pennsylvania)	
corporation, individually and as the)	
representative of a class of similarly situated)	
persons,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	No.
v.)	
)	
TWO COUSINS PIZZA, INC., GIOVANNI)	
PICONE, JOSEPH PICONE and JOHN)	
DOES 1-10,)	
•)	
Defendants.	,	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, HAWK VALLEY, INC., (herein "Plaintiff"), brings this action on behalf of itself and all other persons similarly situated, through its attorneys, and except as to those allegations that pertain to Plaintiff or its attorneys, which allegations are based upon personal knowledge, alleges the following upon information and belief against Defendants, TWO COUSINS PIZZA, INC., GIOVANNI PICONE, JOSEPH PICONE and JOHN DOES 1-10, (herein "Defendants").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This case challenges Defendants' policy and practice of f axing unsolicited advertisements.
- 2. The Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, prohibits a person or entity within the United States from sending or having an agent send unsolicited faxed advertisements. The TCPA provides a private right of action for violations and provides statutory damages of \$500.00 per violation.

- 3. Unsolicited faxes damage their recipients. A junk fax recipient loses the use of its fax machine, paper, and ink toner. An unsolicited fax also causes the recipient to waste valuable time it would have spent on something else. Unsolicited faxes prevent fax machines from receiving authorized faxes, prevent their use for authorized outgoing faxes, cause undue wear and tear on the recipient fax machines, and require additional labor to attempt to discern the source and purpose of the unsolicited message.
- 4. On behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff brings this case as a class action asserting claims against Defendants under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ("TCP A").
 - 5. Plaintiff seeks an award of statutory damages for each violation of the TCPA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction exists under the Class Action Fairness Act 28 U.S.C. 1332 since there is more than \$5,000,000.00 in controversy.
- 7. Venue in this district is proper because Defendants do business here and a significant portion of the events took place here.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff, HAWK VALLEY, INC. is a Pennsylvania corporation.
- 9. On information and belief, Defendant, TWO COUSINS PIZZA, INC., is a Pennsylvania corporation which does business within this federal judicial district. On information and belief, Defendants, GIOVANNI PICONE and JOSEPH PICONE are shareholders, officers and directors of TWO COUSINS PIZZA, INC.

On information and belief, GIOVANNI PICONE and JOSEPH PICONE approved, authorized and participated in the scheme to broadcast advertisements by facsimile by (a) directing a list to be purchased or assembled; (b) directing and supervising employees or third parties to send the advertisements by fax; (c) creating and approving the form of advertisements to be sent; (d) determining the number and frequency of the facsimile transmissions; and (e) approving or paying the employees or third parties to send the advertisements by facsimile transmission.

Defendant JOHN DOES 1-10 are other responsible parties who will be identified through discovery.

FACTS

- 10. On or about February 8, 2006, Defendants sent by telephone facsimile machine an unsolicited advertisement to Plaintiff's facsimile machine. A copy of the facsimile is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit A.
- 11. Defendants did not have Plaintiff's prio r express invitation or permission to send advertisements to Plaintiff's fax machine.
- 12. On information and belief, Defendants have sent similar unsolicited facsimile advertisements to at least 39 other recipients.
- 13. There is no reasonable means for Plaintiff (or any other class member) to avoid receiving illegal faxes. Fax machines are left on and ready to receive the urgent communications their owners desire to receive.

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227

14. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, on behalf of the following class of persons:

All persons that are holders of telephone numbers to which a facsimile transmission was sent on behalf of Defendants advertising or promoting the goods or services of Defendants at any time between four years prior to the filing of this suit to and including the present (the "Class Period").

Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their officers, directors, employees, agents, and members of the Judiciary.

- 15. <u>Commonality [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(A)(2)]</u>. Common questions of law and fact apply to the claims of all class members. Common material questions of fact and law include but are not limited to the following:
 - (a) Whether Defendants sent unsolicited fax advertisements;
 - (b) Whether Defendants' fa csimiles advertised the commercial availability of property, goods or services;
 - (c) The manner and method Defendants used to compile or obtain the list of fax numbers to which it sent Exhibit A and other unsolicited faxed advertisements;
 - (d) Whether Defendants faxed advertisements without first obtaining the recipients' prio r express permission or invitation;
 - (e) Whether Defendants sent the faxed advertisements knowingly;
 - (f) Whether Defendants violated the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227;

- (g) Whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages; and
 - (h) Whether the Court should award trebled damages.
- 16. Typicality [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)]. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all class members. Plaintiff received a facsimile sent on behalf of Defendants advertising goods and services of Defendants during the Class Period. Plaintiff is making the same claims and seeking the same relief for itself and all class members based on the same federal statute. Defendants have acted the same or in a similar manner with respect to Plaintiff and all the class members.
- 17. Fair and Adequate Representation [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)]. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of the class. It is interested in this matter, has no conflicts, and has retained experienced class counsel to represent the class.
- 18. Need for Consistent Standards and Practical Effect of Adjudication [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (b)(1)]. Class certification is appropriate because the prosecution of individual actions by class members would: (a) create the risk of inconsistent adjudications that could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants, and/or (b) as a practical matter, adjudication of Plaintiff's claims will be disposi tive of the interests of class members who are not parties.
- 19. Common Conduct [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (b)(2)]. Class certification is also appropriate because Defendants have acted and refused to act in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members thereby making injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate. Plaintiff demands such relief as authorized by 47 U.S.C. § 227.

- 20. <u>Predominance and Superiority [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)]</u>. Common questions of law and fact predominate and a class action is superior to other methods of adjudication.
 - (a) Proof of the claims of Plaintiff will also prove the claims of the class without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;
 - (b) Evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that

 Defendants may assert and prove will come form Defendants' records an d will not require individualized or separate inquires or proceedings;
 - (c) Defendants have acted and are continuing to act pursuant to common policies or practices in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members;
 - (d) The amount likely to be recovered by individual class members does not support protested individual litigation. A class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based on common proofs;
 - (e) This case is inherently managed as a class action in that:
 - (i) Defendants identified persons or entities to receive the fax transmissions, and it is believed that Defendants' computer and business records will enable Plaintiff to readily identify class members and establish liability and damages;
 - (ii) Liability and damages can be established for Plaintiff and the class with the same common proofs;
 - (iii) Statutory damages are provided for in the statute and are the same for all class members and can be calculated in the same or a similar manner;

- (iv) A class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims, and it will foster economics of time, effort and expense;
- (v) A class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerning Defendants' practices;
- (vi) As a practical matter, the claims of the class are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.
- 21. The TCPA makes unlawful the "use of any telep hone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send an unsolicited advertisement to a telephone facsimile machine" 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 22. The TCPA defines "unsolicited adverti sement," as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's express invitation or permission." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4).
 - 23. The TCPA provides:
 - 3. <u>Private right of action</u>. A person may, if otherwise permitted by the laws or rules of court of a state, bring in an appropriate court of that state:
 - (A) An action based on a violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation,
 - (B) An action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or
 - (C) Both such actions.

- 24. The TCPA is a strict liability statute, so Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and the other class members even if its actions were only negligent.
- 25. Defendants knew or should have known that (a) Plaintiff and the other class members had not given express invitation or permission for Defendants or anybody else to fax advertisements about Defendants' goods or servi ces, (b) that Plaintiff and the other class members did not have an established business relationship, and (c) that Exhibit A is an advertisement.
- 26. Defendants' actions caused damages to Plaintiff and the other class members. Receiving Defendants' junk faxes caused the recipients to lose paper and toner consumed in the printing of Defendants' faxes. Moreover, Defendants' faxes used Pl aintiff's fax machine. Defendants' f axes cost Plaintiff time, as Plaintiff and its employees wasted their time receiving, reviewing and routing Defendants' illegal faxes. That time otherwise would have been spent on Plaintiff's busines s activities. Finally, Defendants' faxes unlawfully interrupted Plaintiff's and the other class me mbers' privacy interests in being left alone.
- 27. Defendants violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. by transmitting Exhibit A hereto to Plaintiff and the other members of the class without obtaining their prior express permission or invitation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HAWK VALLEY, INC., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment in its favor and against Defendants, TWO COUSINS PIZZA, INC., GIOVANNI PICONE, JOSEPH PICONE and JOHN DOES 1-10, jointly and severally, as follows:

- A. That the court adjudge and decree that the present case may be properly maintained as a class action, appoint Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appoint Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;
- B. That the court award actual monetary loss from such violations or the sum of \$500.00 in damages for each violation whichever is greater; and
 - C. The court enjoin Defendants from additional violations; and
- D. That the court award costs and such further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

Sherman, Silverstein, Kohl, Rose & Podolsky

By:

Alan C. Mikstein

4300 Haddonfield Road, Suite 311

Pennsauken, NJ 08109

Counsel for: HAWK VALLEY, INC.

individually and as the representative of a class

of similarly situated persons

February 3, 2010

OF COUNSEL:

Brian J. Wanca

Anderson + Wanca
3701 Algonquin Road, Suite 760
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008
Telephone: 847/368-1500

Philip A. Bock

Bock & Hatch, LLC

134 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1000
Chicago, IL 60620
Telephone: 312/658-5500



FREE Personal Pizza on Your First Visit

With This Ad – Expires 3-31-06

MY DEAR, THIS TERRIBLE RESTAURANT IS RUINING OUR PERFECT EVENING!

SIR, PERHAPS YOU SHOULD TRY THE BEST PIZZERIA IN PENNSYLVANIA, TWO COUSINS PIZZA!

MAYBE I SHOULD HAVE MARRIED THE WAITER?



Two Cousins Pizza

808 E. Main Street New Holland, PA 17557 Phone: 717-355-0333

* Pizza * Subs * Hot & Cold * Stromboli *

* Dinners * Lunch Special *

* Gourmet Pizza *

Made from Scratch!



Home Made Bread Service with a Smile



As you may have heard, Two Cousins is under new ownership. We intend to improve upon the already delicious food and great service you have come to expect from us. We hope you come and see the changes we have already made inside and out. We offer daily lunch specials, gourmet pizzas and family recipe dinners. We would like for you to try us again so we may bring to you fast and friendly service in a warm, clean atmosphere.

Sincerely, The Staff @ Two Cousins

The above sponsor is not affile	iated with, nor	endorsed by, any	charitable	e organizatio	n
					¥74

Please Contribute to Reputable American Charities Dedicated to Helping Hurricane Victims
This is a charitable message. We will send faxes only to parties who wish to receive them. If you, or someone acting in your behalf, did
not request or allow us, our agents, our customers, or our sponsors, to send faxes to this number, we sent this message in error, and we
apologize. To STOP charitable or other faxes, call the "Remove" Hotline (below). To continue to receive, do NOT call the Remove
Hotline. This message is the exclusive property of Macaw, SRL, 46 Match Factory St, Sec 5, Buc, Rom, 050183, 40723294564, which is
solely responsible for its contents and destinations. Date and time stamp are at the top of this page.

"Remove" Hotline (800) 991- 9484, ext 399, or (718) 645-2018, Ext 233. Complaint Hotline (718) 645-2021, ext 232